



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,304	03/31/2004	Jason M. Mayeroff	MAYEROFF04-01	7276

52396 7590 01/16/2009

ROBERT RYAN MORISHITA  
MORISHITA LAW FIRM, LLC  
8960 WEST TROPICANA AVENUE  
SUITE 300  
LAS VEGAS, NV 89147

EXAMINER
----------

TORIMIRO, ADETOKUNBO OLUSEGUN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3714

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/16/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/815,304	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MAYEROFF, JASON M.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ADETOKUNBO O. TORIMIRO	<b>Art Unit</b> 3714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ADETOKUNBO O. TORIMIRO.

(3) Robert Ryan Morishita.

(2) John Hotaling.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 19, 29 and 45.

Identification of prior art discussed: Demar.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview, the proposed claim amendments was discussed as well as the claim language. The Demar reference was also discussed on how the claims could be amended to overcome the prior art. Examiner will fully and fairly examine applicant's timely filed response to the office..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/A. O. T./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/John M Hotaling II/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714